

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2016) KLR VOL 7 PART 390 pp. 3483 - 3906

JULY 2016

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

JULY CONTD.

12. Akindipe v. State p. 3497
13. Alimi v. Kosebinu p. 3539
14. Bukoye v. Adeyemo p. 3565
15. Ehimiyein v. State p. 3593
16. Eneh v. Ozor p. 3619
17. Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639
18. Federal Republic of Nigeria v. Nwosu p. 3709
19. Jombo United. Co. Ltd. v. Leadway Assurance Co. Ltd. p. 3769
20. Salisu v. Mobolaji p. 3793
21. State(1) v. Ajayi p. 3839
22. State(2) v. Omoyele p. 3871

3484 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 7 KLR

ACTIONS - Counter claim - Party - Defendant in an action can counter claim against plaintiff or codefendants - Depending on his cause of action and the reliefs he seeks (H8) Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

ACTIONS - Counter claim - Statute barred - The counter claim is not statute barred - Having not been instituted outside the 3 months provided by Public Officers Protection Law s. 2 (H5) Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

ALIBI - Defence - Proof - Failure of appellant to give details of his whereabouts - And his inability to raise the defence at earliest opportunity - Renders the defence ineffective (H2) Ehimiyein v. State p. 3593

ALIBI - Defence - Weight - Where prosecution has adduced sufficient evidence - To fix appellant at scene of crime - The defence becomes logically demolished (H3) Ehimiyein v. State p. 3593

ALIBI - Plea of - Weight - Courts rightly rejected appellant's defence - As same was not raised timeously - Hence the contention that the burden of proof was shifted to appellant is without substance (H8) Akindipe v. State p. 3497

APPEALS - Competence - Filing time - The appeal having been filed 25 days after judgment of CA was filed out of time - And as such the late filing renders it incompetent (H5) State(2) v. Omoeye p. 3871

APPEALS - Court - Findings - Failure to appeal - Appellants having failed to appeal against CA finding - That the land is communal land - Have no basis to deny at Supreme Court (H4) Salisu v. Mobolaji p. 3793

APPEALS - Dismissal - Abuse of court process - By filing multiplicity of appeals on same subject matter - The appeal constitutes abuse of judicial process - And is liable to a dismissal (H3) Bukoye v. Adeyemo p. 3565

APPEALS - Evidence - Evaluation - Where evaluation of evidence

does not involve credibility of witness - Appellate Court is in position as trial Court - To do its own evaluation (H10) *Esuwoye v. Bosere* p. 3639

APPEALS - Evidence - Reevaluation of - Appellate Court does not evaluate evidence - But it can reevaluate evidence led at trial Court - Which is contained on the printed record (H9) *Salisu v. Mobolaji* p. 3793

APPEALS - Filing - Time frame - Judicial etc Offices & Appeal by Prosecutors Act No. 10 limiting period of appeal to 7 days - Is aimed at encouraging prosecutor to be up and doing (H6) *State(2) v. Omoyele* p. 3871

APPEALS - Fresh issue - Leave - Sub issue of 1963 Constitutional provisions being fresh issue - Requires leave of Supreme Court - As it was neither raised at trial nor before Court of Appeal (H6) *Esuwoye v. Bosere* p. 3639

APPEALS - Ground of law - Issue estoppel - Complaint as to what constitutes issue estoppel - Is in the realm of legal principles - And consequently a ground of law (H1) *Esuwoye v. Bosere* p. 3639

APPEALS - Grounds - Competence of - Is not based on its allegation of both error in law and misdirection in fact per se - But on vagueness inter alia (H2) *Eneh v. Ozor* p. 3619

APPEALS - Grounds - Determination - In determining ground of appeal - It is vital to thoroughly examine both the ground and its particular (H4) *State(2) v. Omoyele* p. 3871

APPEALS - Grounds - Distinction - Appellate court must always distinguish nature of grounds - Because the nature of a ground determines - Whether or not appellant can appeal as of right (H3) *State(2) v. Omoyele* p. 3871

APPEALS - Grounds - Mixed law and facts - Leave - Appeal on such grounds without leave of court - Is incompetent and will be struck

out (H2) State(2) v. Omoyele p. 3871

APPEALS - Grounds - Validity - The present grounds and issues therein are direct attack on judgment of Court of Appeal - Hence they are competent (H5) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

APPEALS - Grounds - Validity of - Grounds should be against ratio decidendi not against obiter - Ground 1 here not having violated this principle is valid. (H1) Eneh v. Ozor p. 3619

APPEALS - Issues - Leave - Issue of non raising of application of section 15 of Court of Appeal Act before CA - Involves substantial points of law that needed determination - To avoid miscarriage of justice (H2) Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

APPEALS - Issues for determination - Competence of - Issues that flow from valid grounds of appeal are competent (H3) Eneh v. Ozor p. 3619

APPEALS - Preliminary objection - Determination of - Where the objection is raised challenging competence of appeal - Court is to first of all consider and dispose of the objection (H1) State(2) v. Omoyele p. 3871

APPEALS - Reply brief - Purpose - Reply brief is meant to address fresh points raised in respondent's brief - And not to introduce fresh points (H1) Akindipe v. State p. 3497

APPEALS - Right of - Exercise of - Right of appeal are exercised according to law and procedure governing appeal - And litigant is not permitted to abuse process of Court - In exercise of such right (H1) Bukoye v. Adeyemo p. 3565

APPEALS - Right of - Is Constitutionally conferred - And except for ascertained fundamental noncompliance with the Rules - Supreme Court is duty bound to preserve the right (H11) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 7 KLR 3487

ARMED ROBBERY - Meaning - Robbery is committed if violence is used on anyone to facilitate stealing - Or stealing added with violence (H1) State(1) v. Ajayi p. 3839

ARMED ROBBERY - Proof - Ingredients - Prosecution is to prove beyond reasonable doubt - That there was robbery - That the robbery was armed robbery - And accused participated in same (H6) Ehimiyein v. State p. 3593

ARMED ROBBERY - Proof - Ingredients - Prosecution must prove that there was robbery - That the robbery was armed robbery - And that accused participated in the robbery (H2) Akindipe v. State p. 3497

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Action - Cause of action - Time of - Cause of action of cross respondents did not accrue in 1969/1970 when exhibit' J' was promulgated - But in 2010 (H4) Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Chieftaincy declaration - Regulation of - Courts have power to set aside registered declaration - That does not correctly declare custom and tradition of the affected area (H14) Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Custom & tradition - In written form - Where such law is reduced to writing - It is known as chieftaincy declaration - Regulating nomination and selection of candidates (H13) Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Filing of - Condition for - Compliance with Chiefs Law s. 3(3) as to Governor's final say - Is imperative before filing the matter in Court - Otherwise Courts will lack jurisdiction to adjudicate on the matter (H4) Bukoye v. Adeyemo p. 3565

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Native law & custom - Proof - It is Exhibit DFC2 and not exhibit J - That represents prevailing native law and custom of Offa people - In relation to Olafa of Offa stool (H12) Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Ruling houses - Rotational policy - From oral testimonies of witnesses and exhibits DFC2 & J - There is no evidence to support the case of rotation between the houses (H11) Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

COURT PROCESSES - Abuse of - Feature - It shows in multiplicity of suits between same parties - And in respect of same subject matter - Taken out by a party to overreach or annoy the other party (H17) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

COURT PROCESSES - Abuse of - Features - Concept of abuse of process is the improper use of judicial process - By a litigant to interfere with the administration of justice (H2) Bukoye v. Adeyemo p. 3565

COURTS - Competence - Defect in - Bars Court from proceeding further - In the absence of jurisdiction - As the action no matter how well considered - Will amount to a nullity (H2) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

COURTS - Competence of - Conditions - Court is competent where it is properly composed - The subject matter of action is within its jurisdiction - And the matter is initiated by due process of law (H1) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

COURTS - Criminal trial - Jurisdiction - In determining whether or not it has jurisdiction to try offence - Court will consider the charge vis-à-vis the enabling law (H14) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

COURTS - Finding - Fair hearing - Where Court takes judicial notice of facts - And without allowing party affected - Makes a finding that is unfair to party - The finding will be set aside on appeal (H12) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

COURTS - Issues - Fair hearing - A person against whom an issue is raised - Must be heard before the determination of the Court - Upon the issue one way or another (H13) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

COURTS - Judgment - Issue of law - Decision of Court on an issue as to whether or not action is statute barred - Is a matter of law that has nothing to do with equity (H3) *Esuwoye v. Bosere* p. 3639

COURTS - Proceedings - Judge's chambers -Is not a regular court room with free access to the public - Hence the proceedings in chambers was an incurable irregularity (H1) *Alimi v. Kosebinu* p. 3539

COURTS - Processes - Consideration of - Court can make use of processes filed before it - To arrive at a just decision in a matter pending before it (H11) *Salisu v. Mobolaji* p. 3793

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - A-G's fiat - Delegation of - Private legal practitioner may be instructed by Attorney General - To appear in criminal case on his behalf (H10) *FRN v. Nwosu* p. 3709

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - A-G's fiat - Duration of - Once fiat is issued - Its validity to prosecute or defend a case - Continues throughout the duration of the case (H9) *FRN v. Nwosu* p. 3709

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conspiracy - Acquittal - As the co accused were discharged and acquitted of conspiracy - Respondent cannot under the law be solely convicted of same offence (H3) *State(1) v. Ajayi* p. 3839

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conspiracy - Proof - Ingredient of the offence lies in agreement to do unlawful thing - Which can be inferred from surrounding facts of doing things towards common purpose (H9) *Akindipe v. State* p. 3497

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Double trial - Proof - 1st respondent having failed to show the fact of double trial on him - Cannot be availed by the rules in Constitution 1999 s. 36(9) (H18) *FRN v. Nwosu* p. 3709

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Element of doubt - Effect - As prosecution failed to prove ingredients of the offence - Due to lapses in its case - Benefit of doubt should be resolved in favour of accused

3490 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 7 KLR

(H2) State(1) v. Ajayi p. 3839

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Stealing - Jurisdiction - Stealing being a matter within legislative competence of Lagos House of Assembly - Trial Court retains jurisdiction to try 1st respondent (H16) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

DAMAGES - Assessment of - That court can rely on plaintiff's mere ipse dixit - Does not avail plaintiff whose evidence is incapable - Of proving anything close to special damages (H6) Eneh v. Ozor p. 3619

DAMAGES - Special and general damages - Claim for - Must each be classified - As special damages must be specifically pleaded - And strictly proved (H4) Eneh v. Ozor p. 3619

DAMAGES - Special damages - Need for strict proof of - Was not satisfied by plaintiff - Who merely listed some items - Without leading credible evidence court can rely on (H5) Eneh v. Ozor p. 3619

ESTOPPEL - Issue estoppel - Application of - Three elements required for application of the principle - Must be present side by side - And absence of any of them renders the principle inapplicable (H7) Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

EVIDENCE - Admission of - Weight - Admission made by DW4 & DW8 are bound to stand firmly - And Court of Appeal was therefore right in holding as it did (H10) Salisu v. Mobolaji p. 3793

EVIDENCE - Confession - Admissibility - Once confession is admitted - It becomes part of evidence for prosecution - And Court is entitled to rely on it (H6) Akindipe v. State p. 3497

EVIDENCE - Confession - Endorsement by Superior Police Officer - Failure to get such endorsement - Does not render confession inadmissible - As the procedure only makes proof of its voluntariness easier (H5) Ehimiyein v. State p. 3593

EVIDENCE - Confession - Retraction - Weight to be attached to con-

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 7 KLR 3491

fession an accused resiled from - Is to be deciphered from facts and circumstances surrounding the making (H4) Ehimiyein v. State p. 3593

EVIDENCE - Confession - Retraction - Will not render confession inadmissible - As Court is to look for other independent evidence - That makes the statement probable (H4) Akindipe v. State p. 3497

EVIDENCE - Confession - Truth of - As per Nwaebonyi & Sykes cases - Is established as court finds inter alia - Something outside the confession to show it is true - That it is corroborated (H5) Akindipe v. State p. 3497

EVIDENCE - Confession - Weight - Accused can be convicted solely on his confession - If same is found to be voluntarily made - And positive with reference to the offence charged (H3) Akindipe v. State p. 3497

EVIDENCE - Single witness - Weight - Evidence of single witness can justify conviction - As credibility of evidence does not depend on number of witnesses that testify on a particular point (H7) Ehimiyein v. State p. 3593

IDENTIFICATION PARADE - Conduct of - Where there is no doubt as to identity of accused - Or where accused has confessed to the crime - There would be no need to conduct the parade (H7) Akindipe v. State p. 3497

IDENTIFICATION PARADE - Necessity - Conduct of identification parade is unnecessary in the circumstance - As the evidence proffered against appellant was overwhelming (H8) Ehimiyein v. State p. 3593

INSURANCE - Action - Proof - To succeed in an action under such a contract - Plaintiff must tie himself within the terms and conditions of the policy (H3) Jombo Utd. Co. Ltd. v. Leadway Assurance Co. Ltd. p. 3769

3492 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 7 KLR

INSURANCE - Policy - Commencement - Contract of insurance is created - Where there exists unqualified acceptance by one party of an offer - Made by the other party (H1) Jombo Utd. Co. Ltd. v. Leadway Assurance Co. Ltd. p. 3769

INSURANCE - Policy - Content - Contract of insurance should contain the terms and conditions - And rights and liabilities of parties thereto (H2) Jombo Utd. Co. Ltd. v. Leadway Assurance Co. Ltd. p. 3769

INSURANCE - Premium - Payment of - Premium is condition precedent to valid contract of insurance - As there cannot be cover in respect of risk - Except the premium is paid in advance (H4) Jombo Utd. Co. Ltd. v. Leadway Assurance Co. Ltd. p. 3769

JUDGMENTS - Delivery in chambers - Reason - Delivery of judgment in chambers to fall within 90 days time frame - Cannot waive mandatory provision that judgment is to be delivered in the open (H2) Alimi v. Kosebinu p. 3539

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Binding nature of - Uwazurike v. A.G Federation being on different facts - Does not avail 1st respondent - As cases are authorities for what they decided (H6) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

JURISDICTION - Court - Proceedings - Court must act within its powers - Hence as Constitution did not empower trial court to sit and deliver judgment in chambers - The Judge acted in futility (H3) Alimi v. Kosebinu p. 3539

JURISDICTION - Ousting of - Jurisdiction of Court can only be ousted - On the basis of specific provisions and words used in the enabling statute (H15) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

LAND LAW - Communal land - Concept of - Tijani v. SGN - Such land is characterized as belonging to a vast family - Of which many are dead - Few are living - And countless members are unborn (H6) Salisu v. Mobolaji p. 3793

LAND LAW - Communal land - Proof - Parties claiming title to such land must plead - Founder of the land - That they are descendants of the founder - And how the land became communal land (H7) Salisu v. Mobolaji p. 3793

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Means of - Ownership is proved by traditional evidence - Title documents - Extended acts of ownership - Acts of long possession - And proof of possession of adjacent land (H3) Salisu v. Mobolaji p. 3793

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Onus of - Exception - Although plaintiff is not to rely on weakness of defence to prove title - But he can take advantage of the facts in defendant's case - Which supports his case (H8) Salisu v. Mobolaji p. 3793

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Traditional history - Exception to rule - Proof of successive persons on whom land devolved - Can be exempted where the land remains a communal land (H5) Salisu v. Mobolaji p. 3793

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Traditional history - Party relying on such history must plead his root of title by proving - Founder of land - How land was founded - And particulars of intervening owners (H2) Salisu v. Mobolaji p. 3793

LAND LAW - Trespass - General damages - Presumption of and discretionary award by court - Can only be made where the alleged trespass is proved (H8) Eneh v. Ozor p. 3619

LAND LAW - Trespass - Though general damages need not be pleaded or proved - Failure to prove any injury or interference with plaintiff's possession - Cannot permit award of general damages by court (H7) Eneh v. Ozor p. 3619

LEGISLATION - Application - Judicial etc Offices & Appeal by Prosecutors Act No. 10 of 1963 - SC having applied provisions of the Act in State v. Adili - It shows that the Act is still extant (H7) State(2) v.

Omoyele p. 3871

LEGISLATION - Provisions - Contradiction - By promulgating Marine Insurance Decree 1997 s. 50 - To contradict s. 23 of 1961 Act - Legislature intended to impliedly repeal s. 23 of 1961 Act (H5) Jombo Utd. Co. Ltd. v. Leadway Assurance Co. Ltd. p. 3769

LEGISLATION - Proviso - Purpose of - It creates exceptions or throws light on ambiguous aspect of general enactment - And does not neutralize the general provision (H7) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

PLEADINGS - Statement of claim - Writ of summons - Supremacy - Supersession applies where there is complete severance - By way of distinct relief in the latter - Which is not contained in the former (H1) Salisu v. Mobolaji p. 3793

STEALING - Recent possession - Presumption of guilt - Failure of appellant to explain his possession of recently stolen properties - Raises presumption of guilt as provided in Evidence Act s. 149(a) (H1) Ehimiyein v. State p. 3593

SUPREME COURT - Appeals - Hearing of - SC Practice Direction O. 9 r. 3(1) empowers the Court to hear appeal - Where good cause is shown expressing clear intention to appeal (H8) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

SUPREME COURT - Appeals - Jurisdiction - The Court hears and determines appeals from decision of Court of Appeal - To the exclusion of any other Court (H3) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

SUPREME COURT - Powers of - Upholding of justice - SC Act s. 22 empowers the Court to prevent occurrence - And continuation of gross miscarriage of justice (H9) Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

SUPREME COURT - Rules of - Compliance with - SC Rules has Constitutional force and must be obeyed - As any noncompliance unless it is explained - Disentitles appellant from Court indulgence (H4) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Chief (Appointment and Deposition) Law Cap 09 of Kwara State 2006, s. 3(3)(4) Bukoye v. Adeyemo p. 3565

Chiefs (Appointment and Deposition) Law of Northern Nigeria Cap. 20 of 1963, ss. 11, 78(6) Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1963, s. 213(2)(d) and 213(3) State(2) v. Omoyele p. 3871, s. 233 Bukoye v. Adeyemo p. 3565, s. 233(2)(3)(6) State(2) v. Omoyele p. 3871, ss. 36(3), 294 Alimi v. Kosebinu p. 3539, ss. 36, 233, 251, 272, 287 FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

Court of Appeal Act, s. 15 Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

Criminal Code Cap 17 Vol.2 of Lagos State 2003, s. 319(1) State(2) v. Omoyele p. 3871

Criminal Code Law of Lagos State, s. 390 FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

Evidence Act 2011, s. 122(2)(a) FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709, s. 135 State(1) v. Ajayi p. 3839

Evidence Act LFN 1990, s. 149(a) Ehimiyein v. State p. 3593, ss. 135, 137, 140167(a) Ehimiyein v. State p. 3593, ss. 27(1)(2) Akindipe v. State p. 3497

Federal High Court Act, s. 7 FRN v. Nwosu p. 3709

High Court of Lagos State (Civil Procedure) Rules of 1994, O. 18 rr. 2, 3 and 4 Salisu v. Mobolaji p. 3793

High Court of the then Bendel State (Civil Procedure) Rules of 1976, O. 13 r. 7 Salisu v. Mobolaji p. 3793

Insurance Decree of 1997, ss. 50, 95 Jombo Utd. Co. Ltd. v. Leadway

3496 INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (2016) 7 KLR

Assurance Co. Ltd. p. 3769

Judicial etc Offices and Appeals by the Prosecutor Act No. 10 of 1963,
s. 4(3) State(2) v. Omoyele p. 3871

Kwara State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 2005, O. 27 r. 4(1)
Bukoye v. Adeyemo p. 3565

Marine Insurance Act of 1961, s. 23 Jombo Utd. Co. Ltd. v. Leadway
Assurance Co. Ltd. p. 3769

Public Officers Protection Law Cap. 111 Laws of Northern Nigeria
1963, s. 2 Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

Robbery & Firearms (Special Provisions) Act Cap 390 Vol. XXII LFN
1990, ss. 1(2)(A), 5(8), 11 State(1) v. Ajayi p. 3839

Robbery & Firearms (Special Provisions) Act Cap. 398 Vol. XXII LFN
1990, ss. 1(2)(a), 5(b) Akindipe v. State p. 3497, s. 1(2)(a) Ehimiyein
v. State p. 3593

Supreme Court Act Cap 515 LFN 2004, s. 27(2) State(2) v. Omoyele
p. 3871, s. 22 Esuwoye v. Bosere p. 3639

Supreme Court Practice Direction 2013, O. 9 r. 3(1) FRN v. Nwosu
p. 3709